arXiv:1612.04722v1 [math.DS] 14 Dec 2016

On the Lyapunov Matrix of Linear Delay Difference Equations in Continuous Time ⋆

Emanuel Rocha * **Sabine Mondié** * **Michael Di Loreto** **

* *Departamento de Control Automático, Cinvestav, IPN, México City (e-mail: erocha,smondie@ctrl.cinvestav.mx).*
** *Laboratoire Ampère, Université de Lyon, INSA-Lyon, France, (e-mail: michael.di-loreto@insa-lyon.fr)*

Abstract: The fundamental matrix and the delay Lyapunov matrix of linear delay difference equations are introduced. Some properties of the Lyapunov matrix, and the jump discontinuities of its derivative are proven, leading to its construction in the case of single delay or commensurate delays. An approximation is proposed for the non-commensurate case.

Keywords: Time-Delay Systems, Stability Analysis, Lyapunov Methods

1. INTRODUCTION

In Engineering Sciences, motivations to study delay difference equations in continuous time come from sampled-data systems, neutral time-delay systems (see Kharitonov (2013), Hale and Verduyn Lunel (1993), and Fridman (2001)), difference equations with distributed delays (see the examples in Melchor-Aguilar (2013)), conservation laws modeled by first order hyperbolic partial differential equations in which a transport phenomenon occurs, Hale and Verduyn Lunel (1993), and other classes of linear systems with distributed parameters, which have been shown in Damak (2015) to admit a representation in the form of difference equations in continuous time.

In the past decade (see Kharitonov and Zhabko (2003), Kharitonov (2013) and the reference therein), the approach of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals with prescribed derivative, defined by the so-called delay Lyapunov matrix, has shown its efficacy in a wide range of applications for retarded, neutral and distributed delays differential systems. Its extension to difference equations in continuous time is a promising topic of investigation.

The purpose of this contribution is twofold. First, to set basic concepts and definitions of the Lyapunov-Krasovskii approach aiming at this extension, in particular the definition of the delay Lyapunov matrix for delay difference equations. Second, to provide a method for its construction, a step that is indeed essential in applications of the theory.

The paper is organized as follows: we introduce in Section II the class of difference equations under study and its fundamental matrix. In section III, we define the Lyapunov matrix $U(\tau)$, and we prove some of its properties, as well as some properties of the jump discontinuities of its derivative. In section IV, these properties allow us to present the analytic construction of the Lyapunov matrix and of its derivative in the case of single and multiple commensurate delays, and to propose an approximation in the non commensurate case. Some illustrative examples are given for each case. The paper ends with some concluding remarks.

Notations. The transpose of a matrix P is denoted by P^T, while the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix P are denoted by $\lambda_{\min}(P)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(P)$, respectively. The standard notation $P \succ 0$ ($P \succeq 0$) means that P is a symmetric positive definite matrix (semidefinite matrix). The space of piecewise right-continuous and bounded functions defined on $[-h_m, 0)$ is $\mathcal{PC}([-h_m, 0), \mathbb{R}^n)$. This space is endowed with the norm $\|\varphi\|_h = \sup_{-h_m \le \theta < 0} \|\varphi(\theta)\|$ or with the L_2 norm $\|\varphi\|_{L_2}^2 = \int_{-h_m}^0 \|\varphi(\theta)\|^2 \mathrm{d}\theta$, where $\|\varphi(\theta)\|$ stands for the Euclidean norm. The solution at time t of the system with initial condition φ is denoted by $x(t, \varphi)$, and $x_t(\varphi) = \{x(t + \theta, \varphi) \mid \theta \in [-h_m, 0)\}$. If it is clear from the context, the dependency with respect to φ may be dropped.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Consider continuous-time difference equations of the form

$$x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j x(t - h_j), \quad t \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

where $A_1, \ldots, A_m$ are constant real $n \times n$ matrices, and $0 = h_0 < h_1 \cdots < h_m = H$ are the delays. For any piecewise right-continuous and bounded initial function $\varphi \in \mathcal{PC}([-h_m, 0), \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists, for all $t \ge 0$, a unique piecewise right-continuous and bounded solution $x(t, \varphi)$ of (1), also referred to as the response of (1). The Cauchy formula for the solutions of system (1) is introduced next.

Theorem 1. For any initial function $\varphi \in \mathcal{PC}([-h_m, 0), \mathbb{R}^n)$, the response of system (1) for $t \ge 0$ is given by

$$x(t, \varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-h_j}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} K(t - \theta - h_j) A_j \varphi(\theta) \, d\theta \tag{2}$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix function $K(t)$ satisfies

⋆ Project CONACYT 180725

$$K(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} K(t-h_j)A_j, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3}$$

with the initial condition

$$K(\theta) = K_0 := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j - I \right)^{-1}, \quad \theta \in [-h_m, 0), \tag{4}$$

assuming $\det\left(I - \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j e^{-\lambda h_j}\right) \neq 0$ for $\lambda = 0$.

Proof. Let us consider the following identity:

$$\int_0^t K(t-\theta)x(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^t K(t-\theta)x(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{5}$$

Using (3) on the left-hand side (l.h.s.) of (5) and (1) on the right-hand side (r.h.s.), gives after some manipulations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{t-h_j}^{t} K(t-\theta-h_j)A_j x(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-h_j}^{0} K(t-\theta-h_j)A_j x(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta.$$

For $\theta \in (t-h_j, t]$, the expression $t-\theta-h_j \in [-h_j, 0)$, hence $K(t-\theta-h_j) = K_0$. Moreover, $x(\theta) = \varphi(\theta)$ on $\theta \in [-h_j, 0)$. Thus, the preceding equation can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} K_0 A_j \int_{t-h_j}^{t} x(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-h_j}^{0} K(t-\theta-h_j)A_j\varphi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta. \tag{6}$$

Taking the first derivative with respect to t on both sides of (6) we obtain

$$K_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \left(x(t) - x(t-h_j)\right) = \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{-h_j}^{0} K(t-\theta-h_j)A_j\varphi(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta.$$

We arrive at the desired result by applying the definition of K_0 in (4) on the l.h.s. of the preceding equation, and because of the fact that the solution is right-continuous. ■

Corollary 1. Matrix $K(t)$ satisfies also the equation

$$K(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j K(t-h_j), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{7}$$

with the same initial condition (4).

Proof. We introduce the matrix $Q(t)$, unique solution of

$$Q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j Q(t-h_j), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{8}$$
$$Q(\theta) = K_0, \quad \theta \in [-h_m, 0).$$

Consider the following identity:

$$\int_0^t K(t-\theta)Q(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^t K(t-s)Q(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{9}$$

Replacing $Q(\theta)$ on the l.h.s. of (9) with (8), and $K(t-s)$ on the r.h.s. with (3), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_0^t K(t-\theta)A_j Q(\theta-h_j)\mathrm{d}\theta = \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_0^t K(t-s-h_j)A_j Q(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

The change of variable $s = \theta - h_j$ on the l.h.s. and algebraic manipulations yield

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-h_j}^{0} K(t-s-h_j)A_j Q(s)\mathrm{d}s = \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{t-h_j}^{t} K(t-s-h_j)A_j Q(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Notice that for $s \in (t-h_j, t]$, $K(t-s-h_j) = K_0$, and for $s \in [-h_j, 0)$, $Q(s) = K_0$. Then, we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1} \int_{t-h_j}^{t} K(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta A_j K_0 = K_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \int_{t-h_j}^{t} Q(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Taking the time derivative on both sides, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(K(t) - K(t-h_j)\right) A_j K_0 = \\ = K_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \left(Q(t) - Q(t-h_j)\right)$$

The dynamic equations of $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$, and the definition of K_0 imply (7). ■

Matrix $K(t)$ is known as the *fundamental matrix* of system (1) in reference to the fundamental matrix defined in Cooke and Krumme (1968).

Equation (7) implies that each column of $K(t)$ is solution of (1), therefore if the system is exponentially stable, i.e. there exist $\sigma > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ such that

$$\|x(t,\varphi)\| \leq \gamma \|\varphi\|_h \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma t},$$

the matrix $K(t)$ also satisfies the inequality

$$\|K(t)\| \leq \gamma \|K_0\| \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

3. LYAPUNOV MATRIX AND ITS PROPERTIES

In this section, we introduce the definition for the Lyapunov delay matrix. We show that it is well defined, and we prove some of it properties.

Lemma 2. Let (1) be exponentially stable, then for every $n \times n$ symmetric, positive definite matrix W, the matrix

$$U(\tau) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(K(t) - K_0\right)^T W K(t+\tau)dt \tag{11}$$

is well defined for all $\tau \geq -H$.

Proof. It follows directly from (10) that, for $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left(K^T(t)-K_0^T\right)WK(t+\tau)\right\| &= \\ &= \left\|\left(K(t)-K_0\right)^T WK(t+\tau)\right\| \\ &\le \gamma\|K_0^T WK_0\|\left(\gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(2t+\tau)}+\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma(t+\tau)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\tau \in [\tau_0, \infty)$; then, the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \|(K^T(t)-K_0^T)WK(t+\tau)\|\mathrm{d}t \le \frac{\gamma^2}{2\sigma}\|K_0^T WK_0\|\mathrm{e}^{-\sigma\tau_0} \times\left(1+\frac{2}{\gamma}\right),$$

proves the statement. ■

In analogy with the delay-free case, and other cases of delay systems reported in the literature, the real valued matrix function $U(\cdot)$ is called the Lyapunov matrix of (1).

We now present some useful properties of $U(\tau)$.

Lemma 3. Let system (1) be exponentially stable. We define the $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix

$$P \triangleq \int_0^\infty K^T(\tau)WK_0\,d\tau - \int_0^\infty K_0^T WK(\tau)\,d\tau \qquad (12)$$

with K_0 defined in (4). Then, the Lyapunov matrix (11) associated to the symmetric positive definite matrix W satisfies the Symmetry property:

$$U(-\tau) = U^T(\tau) + P - \tau K_0^T WK_0, \quad \tau \in [-H, H] \quad (13)$$

and the Dynamic property:

$$U(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^m U(\tau - h_j)A_j, \quad \tau \ge 0. \qquad (14)$$

Proof. *Symmetry property.* Using the change of variable $\xi = t - \tau$ into (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned}U(-\tau) = & \int_{-\tau}^\infty \left(K^T(\xi+\tau)-K_0^T\right)WK(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi \\ = & \int_{-\tau}^\infty K^T(\xi+\tau)W\left(K(\xi)-K_0\right)\mathrm{d}\xi \\ & -\int_{-\tau}^\infty K_0^T WK(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi + \int_0^\infty K^T(\xi)WK_0\mathrm{d}\xi \\ = & U^T(\tau) - \int_{-\tau}^0 K_0^T WK(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi \\ & + \int_0^\infty K^T(\xi)WK_0\mathrm{d}\xi - \int_0^\infty K_0^T WK(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the case $\tau \ge 0$. Since the matrix $K(\xi) = K_0$, for $\xi \in [-\tau, 0)$, using the definition of matrix P in (12) we arrive at equation (13) for $\tau \in [0, H)$.

Consider now the case $\tau \in [-H, 0)$, for which the equality

$$U(\tau) = U^T(-\tau) + P + \int_{-0}^{\tau-0} K_0^T WK(\xi)\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

is satisfied, and (13) follows by transposition.

Dynamic property. Using (3) into (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}U(\tau) &= \int_0^\infty \left(K^T(t)-K_0\right)W\left(\sum_{j=1}^m K(t+\tau-h_j)A_j\right)\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty \left(K^T(t)-K_0\right)WK(t+\tau-h_j)\mathrm{d}tA_j.\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of $U(\tau)$, we arrive at (14). ■

Lemma 4. The matrix P defined in (12) satisfies the equation

$$P = K_0^T\left[\sum_{j=1}^m h_j\left(WK_0A_j - A_j^T K_0^T W\right)\right]K_0, \qquad (15)$$

Proof. We begin by taking the Laplace transform of equation (3),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{K}(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty K(t-h_j)\mathrm{e}^{-st}\mathrm{d}tA_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{K}(s)\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}A_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{-h_j}^0 K(t)\mathrm{e}^{-s(t+h_j)}\mathrm{d}tA_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{K}(s)\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}A_j + \frac{1}{s}K_0\sum_{j=1}^m\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}\right)A_j,\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\hat{K}(s) = \frac{1}{s}K_0\sum_{j=1}^m\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}\right)A_j\left(I-\sum_{j=1}^m A_j\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}\right)^{-1}. \qquad (16)$$

Note that

$$K_0\sum_{j=1}^m A_j = K_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j - I + I\right) = I + K_0.$$

Using the definition of K_0 in (4), we rewrite (16) as

$$\hat{K}(s) = \frac{1}{s}\left(I + K_0(I - \sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{e}^{-sh_j}A_j)\right)(I - \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j})^{-1},$$

which yields

$$\hat{K}(s) = \frac{1}{s}\left(K_0 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I\right)^{-1}\right).$$

Now, we define the matrix function

$$R(t) = -\int_0^t K_0^T WK(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau,$$

which has the following Laplace Transform

$$\hat{R}(s) = \frac{1}{s^2}K_0^T W\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j\mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I\right)^{-1} - K_0\right).$$

From the definition of P in (12), and the final value theorem, we find that

$$P = \lim_{s\to 0}\left\{s\hat{R}(s) - s\hat{R}^T(s)\right\}.$$

It can be readily verified that

$$P = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} K_0^T W \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I \right)^{-1} - \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^T \mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I \right)^{-1} W K_0.$$

The series expansion of the term $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I\right)^{-1}$ allows to conclude that

$$\lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \mathrm{e}^{-sh_j} - I \right)^{-1} = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} \left(I + sK_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_j A_j \right) K_0,$$

hence,

$$P = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} K_0^T W \left(I + sK_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_j A_j \right) K_0 - \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{1}{s} K_0^T \left(I + sK_0 \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_j A_j \right)^T W K_0,$$

and equation (15) follows. ■

Next, we introduce the matrix function $\Delta U'(\tau)$ describing the jump discontinuities of the derivative of the Lyapunov matrix. This matrix is defined as

$$\Delta U'(\tau) \triangleq U'(\tau + 0) - U'(\tau - 0),$$

and we show its following properties.

Lemma 5. Let system (1) be exponentially stable. The jump discontinuities of the derivative of the Lyapunov matrix (11), associated to a positive definite matrix W satisfy the Symmetry property:

$$\Delta U'(-\tau) = [\Delta U'(\tau)]^T, \tag{17}$$

the Dynamic property:

$$\Delta U'(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta U'(\tau - h_j) A_j, & \tau > 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^T \Delta U'(\tau + h_j), & \tau < 0, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

and the Generalized algebraic property:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) A_j - \Delta U'(\tau) = W \Delta K(\tau), \qquad \tau \geq 0 \tag{19}$$

Proof. *Symmetry property.* From the definition of the Lyapunov matrix (11) we have,

$$U'(\tau) = \int_0^\infty (K(t) - K_0)^T W K'(t + \tau) \mathrm{d}t, \qquad \tau \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is a right-continuous function.

The fundamental matrix $K(t)$ is a constant function except at discontinuity points depending on the delays h_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Defining the set of discontinuity instants of $K(t)$ as $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}} = \{t_\kappa\}_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}}$, where

$$t_\kappa \triangleq \min_{p_\kappa^1, \ldots, p_\kappa^m} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_\kappa^j h_j \mid t_\kappa > t_{\kappa-1}, \quad p_\kappa^j \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \tag{20}$$

we can write $U'(\tau)$ as

$$U'(\tau) = \sum_{\kappa\geq 0} \int_{t_\kappa - \tau - 0}^{t_\kappa - \tau + 0} \left(K^T(t) - K_0^T \right) W K'(t + \tau) \mathrm{d}t,$$

which yields

$$U'(\tau) = \sum_{\kappa\geq 0} \left(K^T(t_\kappa - \tau) - K_0^T \right) W \Delta K(t_\kappa), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\Delta K(t) = K(t + 0) - K(t - 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{22}$$

We obtain for $\Delta U'(\tau) = U'(\tau + 0) - U'(\tau - 0)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta U'(\tau) = -\sum_{\kappa\geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa - \tau) W \Delta K(t_\kappa). \tag{23}$$

From the definition of $\Delta K(t)$, we have that at least one of the terms of the previous sum is not zero only when $t_\kappa - \tau \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Otherwise, $\Delta U'(\tau) = 0$. We define the set of values of $\bar{\tau} \in [a, b]$ such that for at least one $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t_\kappa - \bar{\tau} \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}}$ as

$$\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{U}[a,b]} = \{\bar{\tau}\}_{\bar{\tau}\in[a,b]}. \tag{24}$$

Defining the variable $t_q = t_\kappa - \bar{\tau}$, and

$$q(\bar{\tau}) = \left\{ q \in \mathbb{N} \mid t_q = \begin{cases} \bar{\tau}, & \bar{\tau} \geq 0, \\ 0, & \bar{\tau} < 0 \end{cases} \right\},$$

we obtain

$$\Delta U'(\bar{\tau}) = -\sum_{q\geq q(-\bar{\tau})} \Delta K^T(t_q) W \Delta K(t_q + \bar{\tau}).$$

If $\bar{\tau} \geq 0$, then $q(-\bar{\tau}) = 0$ and

$$\Delta U'(\bar{\tau}) = -\sum_{q\geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_q) W \Delta K(t_q + \bar{\tau}), \tag{25}$$

otherwise, we can write

$$\Delta U'(\bar{\tau}) = -\sum_{q\geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_q) W \Delta K(t_q + \bar{\tau}) + \sum_{0\leq q < q(-\bar{\tau})} \Delta K^T(t_q) W \Delta K(t_q + \bar{\tau}).$$

As $t_q + \bar{\tau} < 0$, for $0 \leq q < q(-\bar{\tau})$; then, $\Delta K(t_q + \bar{\tau}) = 0$, and (25) holds.

Given the two definitions of $\Delta U'(\tau)$ that we have found in (23) and (25), it is straightforward to verify that property (17) is satisfied in the case $t_q - \tau \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}}$, for at least one $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, $t_q - \tau \notin \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}}$, $t_q + \tau \notin \mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{K}}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Delta U'(\tau) = 0 = (\Delta U'(-\tau))^T$.

Dynamic property. From the definition of the fundamental matrix in (3), we have

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{m} K(t - h_j) A_j, & t \geq 0 \\ K_0, & t < 0, \end{cases}$$

therefore, for $t > 0$, (22) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta K(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(K(t+0-h_j) - K(t-0-h_j)\right) A_j, \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta K(t-h_j) A_j,\end{aligned}$$

and for $t = 0$, $\Delta K(0) = I$. Summarizing these results, we have

$$\Delta K(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta K(t-h_j) A_j, & t > 0 \\ I, & t = 0, \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Considering the definition of $\Delta U'(\tau)$ in (25) we can write

$$\Delta U'(\tau) = -\sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa) W \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau).$$

In view of the dynamics of $\Delta K(t)$ given in (26), it follows that for $\tau > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau) &= -\sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa) W \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau - h_j) A_j, \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa) W \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau - h_j) A_j, \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta U'(\tau - h_j) A_j,\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and (18) is proved for $\tau > 0$. Consider $\tau < 0$, so that $-\tau > 0$, and $\Delta U'(-\tau)$ satisfies equation (27) as follows

$$\Delta U'(-\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta U'(-\tau - h_j) A_j, \quad \tau < 0,$$

as the matrix $\Delta U'(-\tau)$ satisfies the symmetry property (17), we can apply it on both sides and obtain

$$\left(\Delta U'(\tau)\right)^T = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\Delta U'(\tau + h_j)\right))^T A_j, \quad \tau < 0.$$

Transposition proves (18) for $\tau < 0$.

Generalized algebraic property. We will consider both terms on the l.h.s. of equation (19) separately. For $\Delta U'(\tau)$, $\tau \geq 0$, defined by equation (25), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau) = &-\sum_{\kappa > 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa) W \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau) \\ &- \Delta K^T(0) W \Delta K(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Using the dynamics of $\Delta K(t)$ described in (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau) = &-\sum_{\kappa > 0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta K^T(t_\kappa - h_i) W \\ &\times \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau - h_j) A_j - W \Delta K(\tau),\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau) = &-\sum_{\kappa > 0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta K^T(t_\kappa - h_i) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau - h_j) A_j - W \Delta K(\tau).\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Now, for $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) A_j$, consider again equation (25)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) = &-\sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_\kappa) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_\kappa + \tau + h_i - h_j),\end{aligned}$$

the change of variable $t_q = t_\kappa + h_i$ allows us to write this equation as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) = &- \sum_{q \geq q(h_i)} \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j) \\ = &- \sum_{q \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq q < q(h_i)} \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j).\end{aligned}$$

The last term is canceled given that $t_q - h_i < 0$ for $0 \leq q < q(h_i)$, and $\Delta K(\theta) = 0$ for $\theta < 0$. Finally, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) = &-\sum_{q \geq 0} \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \\ &\times \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j).\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) A_j = \\ &= -\sum_{q>0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j) A_j. \\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta K^T(-h_i) W \Delta K(\tau - h_j) A_j\end{aligned}$$

The last term is equal to zero as $\Delta K(-h_i) = 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta U'(\tau + h_i - h_j) A_j = \\ &= -\sum_{q>0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_i^T \Delta K^T(t_q - h_i) W \Delta K(t_q + \tau - h_j) A_j,\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Subtracting (28) from (30) proves (19). ■

4. CONSTRUCTION OF THE LYAPUNOV MATRIX

Any application of theoretical results requires an effective numerical procedure for constructing the matrices $U(\tau)$ and $\Delta U'(\tau)$. We present next the construction of matrix $U(\tau)$ that satisfies properties (13)-(14) and whose derivative's jump discontinuities satisfy (17)-(19).

4.1 Single-Delay Case

For the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= Ax(t-H), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x(\theta) &= \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-H, 0),\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

with $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the fundamental matrix is given by

$$K(t) = K(t-H)A, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{32}$$

with initial condition

$$K(\theta) = K_0 = (A - I)^{-1}, \quad \theta \in [-H, 0). \tag{33}$$

For any positive definite matrix W, the Lyapunov matrix (11) satisfies the properties (13)-(14). We consider the following equalities, given by the dynamic property (14), for $\xi \in [0, H]$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\xi) =& U(\xi - H)A, \\ U^T(H - \xi) =& A^T U^T(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the symmetry property (13) to the second equation we have

$$U(\xi) = U(\xi - H)A, \tag{34}$$

$$U(\xi - H) = A^T U(\xi) - \left(K_0^{-1}\right)^T P - \left(\xi I + HK_0^T\right) WK_0. \tag{35}$$

where P defined in (15), is given by

$$P = HK_0^T WK_0^2 - H(K_0^2)^T WK_0.$$

We define the following variables, for $\xi \in [0, H]$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\xi) &= U(\xi), \\ Z(\xi) &= U(\xi - H). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By writing (34)-(35) in terms of the variables defined in (36), the following system of equations is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\xi) - Z(\xi)A &= 0 \\ Z(\xi) - A^T Y(\xi) &= -\left(K_0^{-1}\right)^T P - \left(\xi I + HK_0^T\right) WK_0. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The linear system (37) is solved for matrices $Y(\xi)$ and $Z(\xi)$ by defining the vectors $y(\xi) = \mathrm{vec}(Y(\xi))$ and $z(\xi) = \mathrm{vec}(Z(\xi))$, and using Kronecker product properties: the system of equations (37) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} I \otimes I & -A^T \otimes I \\ -I \otimes A^T & I \otimes I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y(\xi) \\ z(\xi) \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n^2} \\ -\mathrm{vec}\left(\left(K_0^{-1}\right)^T P + \left(\xi I + HK_0^T\right) WK_0\right) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This linear system has a unique solution (37) if the matrix $I \otimes I - A^T \otimes A^T$ is invertible, that is, if none of the eigenvalues of A lies on the unit circle of the complex plane.

Recalling the definitions in (36), we recover $u(\xi) = \mathrm{vec}(U(\xi))$, $\xi \in [0, H]$, by devectorization of $y(\xi)$.

Example 1. In Fig. 1 we present the construction of $U(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-H, H]$ associated to a one-dimensional system, for a given positive definite matrix $W = I_2$, and a given parameter matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9375 & 1.11844 \\ 0.3732 & -1.3009 \end{bmatrix}$.

The entries of the matrix $U(\tau)$ appear as follows: $U_{11}(\tau)$ (—), $U_{12}(\tau)$(—), $U_{21}(\tau)$ (—), and $U_{22}(\tau)$ (—), in all the forthcoming figures.



Fig. 1. Graph of $U(\tau)$, for a two-dimensional system of the form (31).

4.2 Case of Multiple Commensurate Delays

It is clear that the case of commensurate delays can be reduced to the one delay case, however, it seems important to address it as a multiple-delay system as a preparatory step to the case of multiple non commensurate delays.

For the case of difference equation in continuous time with multiple commensurate delays of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j x(t - jh), \quad t \geq 0, \\ x(\theta) &= \varphi(\theta), \quad \theta \in [-mh, 0), \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where h is known as the basic delay, the fundamental matrix is given by

$$K(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} K(t - jh)A_j, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{40}$$

with initial condition

$$K(\theta) = K_0 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j - I\right)^{-1}, \quad \theta \in [-mh, 0). \tag{41}$$

We consider the following equalities, given by the dynamic and the symmetry properties, (14) and (13), respectively. For $\xi \in [0, h]$,

$$U(kh + \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} U\left((k - j)h + \xi\right) A_j, \quad k = 0, \ldots, m - 1, \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(\xi - kh) =& \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^T U\left(\xi + (j - k)h\right) - \left(K_0^{-1}\right)^T P \\ &- \left((\xi - kh)I + \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_j A_j^T K_0^T\right) WK_0, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$, where P is defined in (15). Let us define the auxiliary matrices, for $\xi \in [0, h]$

$$Y_k(\xi) = U(kh + \xi), \quad k \in \{-m, -m + 1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, m - 1\}. \tag{44}$$

In the new variables introduced in (44), the equations in (42), for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$, are rewritten as

$$Y_k(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_{k-j}(\xi)A_j, \qquad k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}, \tag{45}$$

and for $k = 1, \ldots, m$, the equations in (43) are

$$Y_{-k}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^T Y_{-k+j}(\xi) - \left(K_0^{-1}\right)^T P - \left((\xi - kh)I + \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_j A_j^T K_0^T\right) \times W K_0. \quad (46)$$

Observe that (45)-(46) is a system of $2m$ algebraic equations with $2m$ unknowns defined in (44). It can be rewritten in vector form using Kronecker products, and solved for the vectors $y_k(\xi) = \mathrm{vec}(Y_k(\xi))$, $k \in \{-m, 1-m, \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, $\xi \in [0, h]$.

Corollary 6. If the system of equations (45)-(46) admits a unique solution

$$\{Y_{m-1}(\xi), Y_{m-2}(\xi), \ldots, Y_0(\xi), \ldots, Y_{-m}(\xi)\}, \quad \xi \in [0, h],$$

then, there exists a unique Lyapunov matrix $U(\tau)$ associated to matrix W. This matrix is defined on $[0, H]$ by

$$U(kh + \xi) = Y_k(\xi), \quad \xi \in [0, h], \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1.$$

Example 2. Two-delay system. Consider the following continuous-time difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= A_1 x(t-1) + A_2 x(t-3/2), && t \geq 0 \\ x(\theta) &= \varphi(\theta), && \theta \in [-3/2, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the basic delay h is equal to $1/2$. We solve for $U(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-3/2, 3/2]$, by finding the solution of the system of equations (45)-(46), using Kronecker products. Plots of $U(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\tau \in [-3/2, 3/2]$, are shown in Fig. 2 for $W = I_2$, and different values of A_1 and A_2.
Fig 2 (a): $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & -0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$; $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.25 \\ -0.9 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}$,
Fig 2 (b): $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0 \\ -0.4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & -0.125 \\ -0.4 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 2. $U(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-3/2, 3/2]$ related to the two-dimensional system of two commensurate delays (47).

4.3 Case of Non-Commensurate Delays: Proposal of an Approximation

In this section, we propose a strategy to approximate the matrix function $U(\tau)$ of a system of two non commensurate delays. We do so by computing the matrices $U_s(\tau)$ of a systems of commensurate delays parametrized by s that tends to the non commensurate case. The intuition behind this approach is that a sufficiently good approximation obtained from the systems with commensurate delays is attainable, since it will become noticeable that the sequence of the matrix functions $U_s(\tau)$ tends to a continuous function. Consider the system described by equation (1) . We take the continued fraction representation (Wall (1948)) of h_i, $i = \overline{1, m}$:

$$h_i = [\lambda_{i,0}; \lambda_{i,1}, \lambda_{i,2}, \ldots] \quad (48)$$

A rational approximation of h_i, $i = \overline{1, m}$ is given by a finite number of terms on the r.h.s. of equality (48), allowing us to find an approximation of $U(\tau)$ by using the strategy of the multiple commensurate delay case. The solution of the function $U_s(kh + \xi)$, $\xi \in [0, h]$, is obtained by solving the system of equations (42)-(43) for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{\bar{h}_m}{h} - 1$, with $\bar{h}_i = [\lambda_{i,0}; \lambda_{i,1}, \lambda_{i,2}, \cdots, \lambda_{i,s}]$, $i = \overline{1, m}$ and $h = \gcd(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \ldots, \bar{h}_m)$, as basic delay.

Example 3. Consider the system described by

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 & -0.3 \\ 0.1 + a & 0.15 \end{bmatrix} x(t-1) + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.25 \\ -0.9 & -0.1 + b \end{bmatrix} x(t - \sqrt{2}). \quad (49)$$

The continued fraction representation of $\sqrt{2}$ is given by

$$\sqrt{2} = [1; 2, 2, \ldots] \quad (50)$$

The scalar function $U_s(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-\bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_2]$ is sketched for different values of a, b and s. Fig. 3 corresponds to $a = 0.7$, $b = -1.1$ with (a) $s = 1$ ($\sqrt{2}$ is approximated by $\frac{3}{2}$), (b) $s = 4$ ($\sqrt{2}$ is approximated by $\frac{41}{29}$). and (c) $s = 7$ ($\sqrt{2}$ is approximated by $\frac{577}{408}$). Fig. 4 corresponds to $a = -1.6$, $b = -0.4$ with (a) $s = 1$, (b) $s = 4$ and (c) $s = 6$.

5. CONCLUSION

The definition of the Lyapunov delay matrix of difference equations in continuous time is introduced. Some of its properties and of the jump discontinuities of its derivative are proved. These results allow the presentation of an analytic method for cases, and for its approximation in the non commensurate case. These results are key preliminary steps of our current research on difference equations in continuous time, namely, the construction of functionals with prescribed derivative, and the assessment of the system stability via the delay Lyapunov matrix $U(\tau)$, where the strategy introduced in Egorov and Mondié (2014) is used.

REFERENCES

Cooke, K.L. and Krumme, D.W. (1968). Differential-difference equations and nonlinear initial-boundary value problems for linear hyperbolic partial differential equations.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 3. Approximation of $U(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-\bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_2]$ related to the two-dimensional system of two non commensurate delays (49), $a = 0.7, b = -1.1$.

Damak, S. (2015). *Approximation de systèmes à paramètres répartis : Analyse , simulation et commande*. Ph.D. thesis, L'institut national des sciences appliquées de Lyon.

Egorov, A.V. and Mondié, S. (2014). Necessary stability conditions for linear delay systems. *Automatica*, 50(12), 3204 – 3208.

Fridman, E. (2001). New Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for stability of linear retarded and neutral type systems. *Systems & Control Letters*, 43(4), 309 – 319.

Hale, J.K. and Verduyn Lunel, S.M. (1993). *Introduction to functional differential equations*. Applied mathematical sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Kharitonov, V. and Zhabko, A. (2003). Lyapunov-Krasovskii approach to the robust stability analysis of time-delay systems. *Automatica*, 39(1), 15 – 20.

Kharitonov, V.L. (2013). *Time-Delay Systems*. Control Engineering. Birkhuser, Boston.

Melchor-Aguilar, D. (2013). Exponential stability of linear continuous time difference systems with multiple delays. *Systems & Control Letters*, 62(10), 811–818. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2013.03.051.

Wall, H.S. (1948). *Analytic theory of continued fractions*. van Nostrand.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 4. Approximation of $U(\tau)$, $\tau \in [-\bar{h}_2, \bar{h}_2]$ related to the two-dimensional system of two non commensurate delays (49), $a = -1.6, b = -1.4$.